

From:

Sent: June 7, 2021 6:03 PM

To: Jennifer Alexander <jalexander@tecumseh.ca>

Cc: Margaret Misek-Evans <mevans@tecumseh.ca>; Laura Moy <lmoy@tecumseh.ca>; Brian Hillman <bhillman@tecumseh.ca>

Subject: Submission for the Agenda June 8th Town meeting re: Briday Development.

For the Tuesday June 8th Agenda

Don't worry I kept it short ,please read to the end.

We are asking for a **NO** Vote for the zoning change on the BriDay submittal.

Please note this is not a request to deny the proposal we are simply asking for a less dense proposal due to the space and traffic restrictions on the property.

I believe the solution is simple. Restrictions to the R3 zoning need to be added to the request. If the site can maintain 2 fully functional entrances and exits I believe 50 units could fit on the property. If we are subject to only one entrance and exit on Dillon 40 units would be Max and a reasonable compromise.

It is plainly obvious that the proposal is profit driven and we know that is not going to complement our neighborhood. The requested density simply provides the developer the ability to make a few million dollars more on the project and leaves the town and the neighbors and the new homeowners a congested mess with all the issues that overcrowding brings with it. Very much like what we see at the developments happening at Manning and Amycroft. I am sure the town cannot be pleased with the approved developments and overcrowding affecting the Manning Road corridor. Then you know how we feel seeing this proposal.

Housing sustainability and a complete reset of our current global lifestyle is currently being discussed at the highest levels of our global leaders. It is irresponsible to allow a proposal such as this to set precedent with other developments within our neighborhoods. Please vote **No** to the zoning request and let us and our new neighbors enjoy the peace and quiet of our unique neighborhood with a balanced development.

Finally I am concerned that Mr. Ublansky has used misleading information repeatedly in meetings and in Interviews in the media. He has referred multiple times to the RANCH style homes on Carmalita as TWO STOREY homes simply buried in the ground. It is concerning that a developer proposing an alternative type of development in our neighborhood does not understand the difference between a RANCH home and a TWO STOREY home. This use of language concerns me as a neighbor that misinformation will continually be used to qualify other details in pertaining to the development, such as calling the 3 storey building a stacked townhome instead of what it truly is, a 3 storey apartment building. In calls into question the integrity of the developer in statements that he is using to simply re-enforce an obviously bias agenda. Please do not be misled by misleading arguments.

Mark Mrkalj
Resident Tecumseh