

From: Richard Hudon <
Sent: January-18-19 12:12 PM
To: Gary McNamara <gmcnamara@tecumseh.ca>
Subject: A matter of great community importance

Dear Mayor Gary McNamara

Thank you for reading this email letter.

I understand that you will soon be once again faced with a decision on fluoridation.

You will surely be facing a barrage of promotional support by many so-called experts.

However, experts on what?

Are they experts on fluoridation, fluoride, the Fluorine atom and the chemistry about these?

Are they experts on Toxicology, Pharmacology and water treatment?

Do you really know if the the purported benefits of fluoridation are truly substantiated or not?

Are those promoting fluoridation merely spouting unsubstantiated facts that they believe to be true?

Just because they make statements of support that appear to be with authority, does that mean they are authoritative?

Are their statements of support statements really and truly from independent science based researchers?

Yet is that not what you will be presented with?

Should you not beware of wolves in sheep's clothing?

Are their claim to be promoting tooth health for kids ignoring the deleterious effects to health now proven to exist from exposure to the Fluorine element in the form of fluoride?

Those who started this myth have done so with powerful and ingenious marketing and caused it to be perpetrated by convincing the medico-dental community to believe that it works as claimed.

Have you done your own due diligence on this matter?

Should you not be challenging those that want you to decide to medicate a whole population with an unproven medicinal product, one that is actually a toxic fluoride industrial waste banned by the US EPA as an environmental contaminant and the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA): WHMIS Ingredient Disclosure List says it's a corrosive, toxic substance?

Does the actual fluoride used for fluoridation really become innocuous when diluted in treated water?

Do they really have the Toxicology Studies and Clinical Trials? have they actually provided them?

Should you not be asking them for actual, real, independent research that what they claim is actually true?

Should you not be listening to the voices of reason as opposed only to “expert” opinions?

Are you aware that over 5,000 professionals world wide have signed an open international letter asking for this dangerous practice to be halted?

Are you aware there are now thousands of studies showing harm from ingestion of fluoride?

Aren't the claims made by fluoridationist simply just opinions that are not science based though they shamelessly claim that they are speaking on the strength of science based information?

Do you not have a duty to protect your constituents, especially children, from the effects of a bad decision based on bad or incomplete information given to you by persons who have a paycheck attached to their promotion of an unproved medical treatment?

As you listen to the barrage of promotion that you will be subjected to on the subject of fluoridation, will you please remember the above questions? especially also after reading the following information.

Thank you for reading this far and please read the following information.

What are the facts?

1. There are no Toxicology Studies and no Clinical Trials for the actual Hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFSA aka HFS) mixture and co-contaminants used in municipal treated water.
2. All water additives used in the water treatment process require Toxicology Studies and Clinical Trials before being used in the water treatment process, except for HFSA.
3. No HFSA batches are individually tested for the concentration of contaminants before being used in the water treatment processes.
4. HFSA is known for the following problems:
 - Highly corrosive and toxic effects.
 - Liver and kidney injuries may occur with chronic exposure even a very low levels.
 - Chronic exposure is known to cause dental and skeletal fluorosis.
 - many other health problems that have been documented over the last 60 years.
5. Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA): WHMIS Ingredient Disclosure List (Can. Gaz., Part II, Vol. 122, No. 2): - E Corrosive Material
6. This chemical can not normally be safely disposed of except as specified in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Act 2010 of Canada and similar regulations in the U.S.

7. HFSA is a known, banned US EPA environmental contaminant.
8. MSDS's for HFSA (Hydrofluorosilicic acid, HFS, Fluorosilicic acid) clearly state that the chemical is "Not for human consumption" - it's easy to look it up on the supplier's web site.
9. Fluoridation medically treats all consumers of municipally treated water without their explicit consent, without medical supervision, without medical follow up, without proof of lack of harm and without science based research on possible health effects; any doctor doing this would lose his license with any other drug treatment: why is HFSA exempted from such an exemption?
10. Fluoridation is the use of medical treatment using an unapproved chemical product mixture (HFSA) that does not have a DIN (drug identification number).
11. Lack of consent to medical treatment by any means, especially by fluoridated water, is an infringement of the human rights of all water consumers in any treated municipality.
12. The chemical mixture used is not the pure substance called Hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFSA), chemical formula H_2SiF_6 : it is a liquid containing that contaminant and many other co-contaminants (Arsenic, Lead, Mercury, Radium, maybe even deadly Polonium, etc). It is the unpurified liquid resulting from the wet scrubbing of the smoke stack emissions (soot) of the phosphate fertilizer industry produced from their mining operations.
13. The Fluoride ion released in the water supply from the acid for the claimed purpose of preventing tooth decay is a powerful ion of the Fluorine element that has the potential for disrupting and destroying human cells, RNA, DNA and organ functions, and has been shown by independent science based research to be generally and specifically harmful to life: the proof is easily available but ignored by medical authorities.

When you raise each of the above matters with these "experts" they will attempt to deflect them with some official sounding baffle-gab or deflected by authoritative sounding statements of opinions that appear to contradict the evidence.

Should you believe them?

You might ask: "Why am I so opposed to fluoridation?"

Simple,

1. for all of the above 13 reasons, and,
2. because I am one of those members of a significant minority who must not ingest even the slightest amount of the Fluorine element or suffer dire consequences;
3. I have also informed myself of 100s upon 100s of research papers that show that ingestion of the Fluorine atom combined into any fluoride solution or compound
 - a) is absolutely contraindicated for any human being, with proven potential for causing harm, and,
 - b) that it has no real benefits for teeth: "au contraire" it actually destroys normal tooth enamel: figure it out.

Sincerely

Richard Hudon, President
Safe Water Ottawa