

I was born and raised in Windsor,

I am the CFO and co-owner of a manufacturing co. in Oldcastle for the last 40 years.

and I now reside in LaSalle.

All three places Windsor, Oldcastle and LaSalle share the same water.

I begin:

I do not need, I do not want, nor have I ever given my consent to have artificial fluorides added to my, and my family's drinking water.

I'm here wearing two hats, one as the financial manager of a business and one as a wife, mother and grandmother.

As a CFO my responsibilities to the company are very similar to yours for the community.

When making a decision for the benefit of the company, whether it is a purchase of a CNC machine, hiring a foreign worker, or increasing the health and dental coverage for my employees, I analyze several factors, but it really comes down to a two part study:

1/ what is the cost risk

2/ what is the payback on investment

The cost risk to adding HFSA back into the water will be considerable.

Your capital asset purchases; subcontracting costs, overhead cost, supplies, maintenance costs, employment and health and safety costs, all of which are trickled down to the consumer.

This can be compared to me- selling to my customer something I have added to his order because I believed that it would truly improve his purchase. My invoice for my product plus the additive fails to itemize the components of the sale, it does not including a warning label or any required SDS information. Imagine the conversation. "But Ford, the titanium coating is in my opinion the way to go with this purchase- you are far better off with it, trust me studies show the surfaces of your die will be more durable and even though it's not on your Purchase order I believe it's best for you". How would my business survive with that kind of heavy handed behaviour? I really don't know how you can sell goods to a customer who do not need, do not want or has never consented to this purchase, and forcing them to pay.

Fair is fair, if you charge me for something I don't want, I can charge you to take it out by the installation of a reverse osmosis filtration system at both my residence and my place of work. There will be an initially cost with maintenance fees quarterly. So multiply

that times the people who don't want HFSA in their water. That will be considerably more expensive than having residents purchase their own fluoride tablets, toothpaste or mouthwash.

Violating human rights of residents will expose the Town of Tecumseh to a very expensive risk of lawsuits.

Lastly on the topic of cost analysis, I understand that nearly 90% of this product is wasted on clothes, dishes and human washing activities and very little product is used to do what it was intended to do.

I find that financially irresponsible.

We come to the last part of the analysis: what is the payback on the investment?

A retake of the last study that shows a trend of cavities on the increase should be considerably improved and within a short period of time to have value in pack back on investment. I am not a believer of that ever happening.

Putting on the mother, grandmother hat, I would like to express my deep concern about the "What if situations" I'm reading about.

What if it is true that Fluoride can decrease the IQ of the unborn whose mother ingests HFSA?

What if it's true that my thyroid function is altered by HFSA?

What if its true- etc.

.
.
.
.
.

I am committed to the health and safety of my employees and my family , I respectfully request that if any of the above conditions have in the smallest way caused you to hesitate to vote for Fluoride, please error on the side of caution, you will not regret it it makes perfect sense financially, and fairly.

